	Reference duide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
Third Down Ovality B	Annexure 3
Inird Party Quality N	Ionitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Date of Visit	14-12-2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Pradesh				
2	Name of the City:	MAU				
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 989 DUS under PMAY 29th CSMC 27/12/2017				
4	Project Code:	0900757214N0				
5	Implementing-Agency/ ULB	Maunath Bhar	njan			
6	Location of the Project	Wards of ULB				
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (Enhancement)				
8	No. of Houses in the project	989				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	27/12/2017				
10	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA	Beneficiary	Total
		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	share (in Lakhs)	share (in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs
		1483.5	989.0	850.5	0.0	3323.0
11	Date of sanction of 1 _{st} installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	27-12-2017				
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled Actual				tual
	Bate of Commencement	27	-12-2017		11-01-2018	
14	Date of Completion	Scheduled		Expected		
	Date of Completion	27	-12-2018		11-01-2019	
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	Shobhit Sharm	a		9926784529	
13	(with contact detail) :	Surbhi Chouha	ın		9111008987	
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				1
17	Name of ULB representative present	Ankit Singh			9559644670)
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed	with Photos	3		
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized ?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				

Innovative Cadd Center
Authorised Signatory

	Reference Guide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
Third Party Quality N	Annexure 3 Ionitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Date of Visit	14-01-2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Pradesh	1			
2	Name of the City:	MAU	T all to			
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 1673 DUS under PMAY 33rd CSMC 27/04/2018				
4	Project Code:	0900757214N0				
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Maunath Bhanjan				
6	Location of the Project	Wards of ULB				
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New Construction)				
8	No. of Houses in the project	1673				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	26/04/2018				
10	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary	Total
		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)
		2509.5	1673.0	1438.0	0.0	5620.5
11	Date of sanction of 1 _{st} installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	27-04-2018				
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled Actual				tual
10	Bate of Commencement	27-04-2018 12-05-2018			-2018	
14	Date of Completion	Scheduled			Expected	
	Date of Completion		-04-2019		12-05	5-2019
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	Shobhit Sharm	ia		99267	784529
10	(with contact detail) :	Surbhi Chouha	an		91110	008987
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Roshan Rai			9398411593	1
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed with Photos				
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized ?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				

Innevative Cadd Center

Addition to the state of the st	Reference Guide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
Third Party Quality M	Annexure 3 onitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Date of Visit	14-12-2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Prades	h			
2	Name of the City:	MAU				
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 109 DUS under PMAY 33rd CSMC 27/04/2018				
4	Project Code:	0900757214N0				
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Maunath Bha	njan			
6	Location of the Project	Wards of ULB				
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New Construction)				
8	No. of Houses in the project	109				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	27/04/2018				
	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total
10		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)
		163.5	94.8	0.0	0.0	258.3
11	Date of sanction of 1 _{st} installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	27-04-2018				
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled Actual				tual
10	Date of Commencement	27-04-2018 12-05-2018			-2018	
14	Date of Completion		cheduled		Expected	
			-04-2019		12-05	-2019
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	Shobhit Sharn	na		99267	784529
	(with contact detail) :	Surbhi Chouh	an		91110	008987
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Romaan Ahma	ad		9398411593	
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed	with Photo	S		
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				

980	
THE.	

	MI - 11 B/O/ / 1	
1	Whether ULB/ State have organized workshop/ training programs to educate the beneficiaries about quality, construction methods, adherence to disaster resistant technologies etc.	YES
2	Whether testing facilities to check quality of material is available?	Testing facilities are not available at sites, however it is advisable that in the premises of the mouncipal council testing facilities shoud be developed for the beneficiaries
3	Whether regular tests of materials and construction products tests of materials are being done from accredited labs also?	Not conducted
4	(i) Whether the structural Designs are approved / Proof checked by competent Authority	Not provided
	(ii) Name of the approving authority for structural design.	NA
	(iii) Whether the statuary certificate for disaster resistance design and compliance of codal provision isendorsed by the structural designer on the structural drawings fit for execution, Specially in case of multi- storied construction.	Not Required
	(iv) The work is being executed as per the approved drawings fit for execution.	NO
5	Whether the Inspection Registers, Site order book and Quality control Test registers are maintained and endorsed by the Engineer–in-charge?	No, (Instruction given to maintain the site order book, Inspection register & Quality control Test register.
6	Whether cement, steel, aggregates etc. being used in the work, got tested before use?	No (materials being purchaged by beneficiary.)
7	Whether manufacturer test certificate for cement, steel, pipes etc. have been obtained with supply and records are being maintained?	NA
8	Whether Cement is free from lumps and is stacked properly to prevent contact with moisture?	Yes
9	Whether soil investigations of the site proper have been done before the structural design and soil parameters have been accounted for in the structural design/ drawings? If yes, attach copy of soil investigation report.	No, Soil investigations have been done

Innovative Cadd Center

	Reference Guide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017						
10	Whether centering /shuttering is checked for staging & propping, line & level, dimensions, cleaning etc. and its quality approved before each stage and record maintained.	No record have been maintained.					
11	Specific control on RCC work like: mixing by full bag capacity hopper fed mixer, control of slump, placing/compaction with vibrator. (proportioning with boxes not permitted)	Mannual mixing with hand compaction					
12	Whether cement register is maintained and checked at site. Comment on method of stacking.	No cement register have been maintained and the work has been carried out on petty purchases.					
13	Whether concrete mix is nominal or design mix? (Nominal mix not permitted for quality concrete).	Nominal mix has being used					
14	What is the Mode of Concrete mixing (batch mix/ manual)? In case of manual mixing, whether mixing is by weight or by volume of ingredients? (Volumetric mixing not permitted).	Yolumetric mannual mixing has been used					
15	Whether Mixer/Vibrator as specified is available at site with adequate means to run them during concreting?	NA					
16	Whether Surface of reinforcement is clean and free from rust?	No					
17	Whether potable water is used for construction?	YES					
18	Whether proper water / cement ratio has been ensured?	NA					
19	Whether the concrete being cured adequately as per requirements?	YES					
20	Whether bricks are well burnt, of rectangular shape, with sharp edges, free from cracks and of correct size?	YES					
21	Whether						
	i) Execution of Depth & width of Foundation is as per drawing/ adequate & bottom levelled	Adequate					
	ii) Plinth height is as per drawing/ adequate	Adequate					
	iii) Horizontal bands (as applicable) have been provided	Partially Provided.					
22	Whether quality has been checked by TPQMA/ State agency? If yes, details there of ?	YES, ULB staff has been checked. Found good					

			þ	
м	9	Ŗ		
ŵ	ä	ä	ı	
	a o			

23	Quality of work and workmanship, Comments on	
(i)	RCC work (concrete, Reinforcement detailing, cover to reinforcement). Whether Columns are in plumb (check for verticality)	Cover to Reinforcement is sufficient & the columns are in plumb verticaly.
(ii)	Masonry (Joint details, verticality). Check adequate RCC bands are provided.	Masonary work is good.
(iii)	Shuttering (Type of material-pucca/ katcha, support spacing)	Steel shuttering are mainly used but somewhere wooden shuttering are also used with steel shuttering.
(iv)	Bar bending and stirrups bending , placement and cover to reinforcement bars.	Adequate
(v)	Plastering	Satisfactory
(vi)	Doors and windows	Satisfactory
vii)	Seepage, if any	Not found
viii)	Cracks, if any	NA
(ix)	Honey combing, if any	Honeycomb are observed that in some place
(x)	Any other	Satisfactory
24	Whether provision has been made for Service lines (Electrical, Water Supply,Sanitation etc.)	Yes
25	Whether floor slope (especially) in bath,WC, kitchen, terrace and balcony etc. are proper?	Yes
26	Whether adequate plinth height (above the general ground level) is provided to the ground floor of the building to avoid possibility of rainwater and reptiles in the building.	Yes
27	Whether dampness/leakages noticed? If yes, state location and probable reasons.	No dampness found
28	Whether remedial measures are undertaken by IA/ ULB to stop Dampness & Leakages if any?	NO
29	Whether disaster resistant features have been incorporated?	The construction is being carried by beneficiaries and quality of construction maintained satisfactory.
30	Any other comments	The inspected sites are in order and construction is being carried out by beneficiaries themselves . The construction
31	Report on Overall assessment of quality	On inspection of houses under ULB, We found that some houses are plinth level, some houses are lintel level while some houses are roof level. Quality of concrete in beam coloun and slab is found satasfactory. Proper cover is maintain in RCC work



Reference Guide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017

C. F	PROGRESS - HOUSING COMPONENTS	nird Party Quality Moniton	ring-2017			
1	Numbers of houses sanctioned in the Project	989	1673	100		
2	Numbers of houses Completed	60	90	109		
3	Numbers of houses in progress	929		0		
4	Stages of Progress:	929 1463		0		
i)	Foundation level (Nos.)	654	607	100		
ii)	Plinth level (Nos.)	654 687 123 653		109		
iii)	Lintel level (Nos.)	65	58	0		
iv)	Roof level (Nos.)	64	42	0		
v)	Finishing level (Nos.)	25				
5	Numbers of houses not started	25 23 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120		0		
6	Reason for non-starter of houses, if any	Surrendred				
7	Numbers of houses occupied by the Beneficiaries	0	0	0		
8	Reasons for Non-occupation of houses (If any)- specific reasons to be given	Under construction				
		Sanctioned	As per Impl	lementatio		
9	Size of Unit (Carpet Area)	Proposed avg. 30 Most of the houses are sq.m 30 sqm				
10	Overall physical progress of Housing (in %)	Approx 34%				
11	Whether the progress of houses has been ensured through geo-tagged photographs at various construction level?	Yes				
12	Whether DBT of fund to individual beneficiary is ensured in the project?	Yes				
13	Whether the building plan(s) conform to NBC norms?	Yes				
14	Whether authenticated building plans and revisions if any, are available?	Yes				
15	Deviation, if any	No				
16	Any other comment	No				
). C	IVIC INFRASTRUCTURE					
1	Whether provision of Civic infrastructure components (i.e. water supply, Sewerage, Drainage, Roads, SWM etc.) has been ensured?	Yes				

Innovative Cadd Center

Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana (Urban) - Housing for All Mission

	Pradnan Mantri Awas Yojana (Urban) - Housing for All Mission			
2	Whether the Existing/proposed infrastructure components are independent and/or integrated with city level infrastructure?	NA		
3	Any other comments	No		
E. S	SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE			
1	Whether provision of Social Amenities (i.e. School, Anganwadi, Health centre, Community centre, Livelihood centre etc.) has been ensured?	Yes		
2	Any other comments	No		
F. F	INANCIAL PROGRESS & FUND UTILIZATION (Rs	. in Lakhs)		
1	Sanctioned fund for entire project.	3323.04	5620.50	258.29
2	Amount of funds received up to date	989.00	1673.00	0.00
3	Up-to date Expenditure	989.00	1673.00	0.00
4	Amount of funds Utilized (out of received)	989.00	1673.00	0.00
5	Amount of Expenditure by ULB	Nil		
6	Amount of Expenditure by Beneficiaries			
7	Balance funds Expected/due from stakeholders	989.00	1673.00	109.00
G. (COST VARIATION and TIME-OVERRUNS			
	t variation:			
		Not applicable for BLC works		
	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for	BLC works	
Cost	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the	Not applicable for	BLC works	
Cost	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons?			
1 Time 1 H. F	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons? Overruns: Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons? REMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRESS	? Not applicable for	· BLC works	
1 Time 1 H. F	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons? Overruns: Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons	? Not applicable for	· BLC works	
1 fime	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons? Overruns: Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons? REMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRESS	? Not applicable for S AND QUALIT	BLC works	
1 Time 1 H. F	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons? Overruns: Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons? REMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRESS ertaken by Implementation agency to improve the:	? Not applicable for S AND QUALIT	BLC works Y of installments m	ust be
1 Time 1 H. F Und	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons? Overruns: Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons? REMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRESS ertaken by Implementation agency to improve the: Physical Progress	? Not applicable for S AND QUALIT 34%, Timely payment of assured to benefic Supervision from	BLC works Y of installments maiaries. the side of PMC	
1 Time 1 H. F Und 1	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons? Overruns: Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons REMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRESS ertaken by Implementation agency to improve the: Physical Progress Financial Progress	? Not applicable for S AND QUALIT 34%, Timely payment of assured to benefic	of installments modaries. the side of PMC lity. ard must be assid that good qual	need to be ured.
1 Time 1 H. FUnd 1 2 3	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons? Overruns: Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons REMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRESS ertaken by Implementation agency to improve the: Physical Progress Financial Progress Quality management	? Not applicable for S AND QUALIT 34%, Timely payment of assured to benefic Supervision from improved for qual Regular visit in white It must be checked.	of installments modaries. the side of PMC lity. ard must be assid that good qual	need to be ured.

J. O	THERS	Ird Party Quality Monitoring-2017
1	Any innovative/ cost effective/ green technology has been used	No
2	Feedback of beneficiaries regarding provision of Physical & Social infrastructure:	Satisfactory
3	Reasons for delay in completion of housing and Infrastructure, if any:	Work in progress, No Delay
4	Any specific observation by the beneficiary	No
	Overall observation on the project (with adequate photographs covering project to a large extent including quality issues as applicable)	
	1. Critical observations	Progress of the project is satisfactory
	2. Action suggested by TPQMA to SLNA and IA	No action
	Action taken report on previous report: i. Observations of TPQMA ii Action suggested by TPQMA iii Action taken by Beneficiaries/ IA (Implementation Agency) iv Whether TPQMA is satisfied with the action taken	This is first visit.
7	TPQMA's Overview of the Project (in 400-500 words) in a separate sheet	The overall project progress is good, and management need to pay attention on the timely payment of 2nd stage. The construction is being carried by beneficiaries themselves and guidance on construction techniques is being provided by PMC, However to maintain the quality of work and as per section B point no.13 of this report. Work progress is slow as per commecement date. Regular frequency of visit of ULB officials is required for close supervision over work.



Innovative Cadd/Center

For

Innovative CADD Centre Indore,

Name of Community representative present during visit

ULB NAME-:Maunath Bhanjan				
S.No	Benf. Name	Father/Husband Name		
1	PARSURAM GOND	JAGRUP GOND		
2	MUSTAK AHMD	ABDUL ANSARI		
3	GAMA MAURYA	RAM BALI MAURYA		
4	KEDAR SONKAR	JAGDISH SONKAR		
5	IMATAH	MANGU		
6	BINDU	MUNNILAL		
7	SUDAR	LAT RAM LAKAN		
8	LORIK YADFAV	KISHOR KUMAR		
9	saroj devi	kesav parasad		
10	FULCHAND	BECHAN SONAKAR		
11	LALJIT SONKAR	KUBER SONKAR		
12	MUHAMMAD ASLAM KHAN	MUHAMMAD SHAMSASUDIN		
13	СНАМРА	HIRA		
14	RESMA PARWIN	MAJID		
15	NIRMLA DEVI	MAHESH SONKAR		
16	RAMCHET GOND	GOPALGOND		
17	DAYARAM SONKAR	JHIGAEE SONKAR		
18	BUDHAIRAM SONKAR	PARSOTAM SONKAR		
19	JADVATA DEVI	SHIV SANKAR		
20	LALA SOKAR	GHANJU		
21	KISAN DEV	BECHU		
22	BASDEV	AMBAR		
23	GEDA DEVI	RAMA		
24	CHOTELAL SONKAR	AWADH SONKAR		
25	PARAS NATH	RAM SUMER		
26	PUNWASI RAM SONKAR			
27	SUBHAGGA	BHUIL SONKAR		
28	INDRAVATI DEVI	SASUKHU		
29	SANDHYA PATHAK	LATE LA CANNAT BATHAK		
30		LATE JAGANNAT PATHAK		
31	SMT BIHULA DEVI	LATE MAITHAL SONAKAR		
	FAJAJ ANSARI	LAT AJAJ ANSARI		
32	BHOLA RAM	LATE CHHOTI		
33	GIRDHARI LAL SONAKAR	LATE BAHOR		
34	MUNNI DEVI	LT SANKAR SONAR		
35	LAL JI	NINAK RAM		
36	KRIPSNKAR	VCHASPATI		
37	DALSINGAR	KHUNNNAN		
38	SHYAM SUNDAR	DAGUR RAM		
39	MUNNI	DINESH		
40	PRADEEP KUMAR	KISHOW PRASAD		
41	NAYAN TARA	SHREE PRAKASH		
42	SILA DEVI	LAT CHOTU LAL		
43	RAMA PRASAD	RAM JIWON		
44	ACHCHHE LAL	LALLU SONKAR		
45	KISHOR KUMAR	AUDHU		
16	RAJENDRA MORE	RAM BACHAN MORE		
17	CHOTELAL	ANURUP		
18	NISHA	LAT KAYLAS CHAD		
49	RAKRAR HUSAN	MUNIR		
50	NIRIMILA DEVI	GULAB CHAND		
51	MAHANDAR SONKAR	LAT MAGURAM		
52	PHOOLA DEVI	MAKUND SONAKAR		
53	RUDAL			
54	SARETA DEVI	SHIVCHARAN		



Innovative Radd Center



Innovative dadd Center



Innovative Cadd Center

Authorised Signatory